

NTA10

DAN'S DOCTRINE OF M.D.R.A. (1 Cor. 7:15)

“But if the unbelieving depart, let him depart. A brother or a sister is not under bondage in such cases: but God hath called us to peace.” NOTE:

1. “Marriage” is not even mentioned.
2. “Divorce” is not even mentioned.
3. “Remarriage” is not even mentioned.
4. “Adultery” is not even mentioned.

DAN rejects Matt. 19:9

DAN perverts Rom. 7:2,3

DAN accepts 1 Cor. 7:15—A passage that deals with ONE subject—the ACTION of an “unbelieving” husband LEAVES his believing wife. Rather inadequate for FULL N.T. on subject of Marriage, Divorce, Remarriage & Adultery, ISN'T IT?

NTA8

GOD'S MORAL LAW FOR MARRIAGE, DIVORCE AND REMARRIAGE

“Because God planned all things in the scheme of redemption before the creation of the world (Rom. 14:25-26; Eph. 3:8-13; Tit. 1:2-3), this means that before “the beginning” He made provisions for marriage, divorce and remarriage. Then, in Genesis 2, with the creation of man and woman, God set forth His one moral law for marriage and the home that has continued through all biblical ages. Hence, God's Moral Law for All Biblical Ages.

Genesis -- Exodus 19
Adam & Eve; Patriarchs

Exodus 20
Mosaical Age

Acts 2
Christian Age

+-----+-----+----->

(ALL the above is a presentation BY Dan Billingsly).

IN view of any correctness in the above statements:

- 1) Why does he attempt to now show that WE are NOT under Matt. 19 today?
- 2) BUT, Moses “suffered” a departure from God's Moral Law, and Christ is calling us BACK to it in Matthew 19.
- 3) If God's moral law has not changed, WE are bound by the SAME teaching as Matt. 19:9, for it is linked to God's will from THE BEGINNING.

NTA9

H A R M O N Y

MATT. 19:9 (“Put away (except for fornication)..marrieth another..committeth adultery.”)

MARK 10:11,12 (“Put away.....marrieth another..committeth adultery.”)

ROM. 7:3 (“Husband lives.....marrieth another..called an adulteress.”)

NOTE:

- (1) Rom. 7 appears to be STRICTER than Matthew and Mark.
 - a. Exception of “fornication” not stated.
 - b. Exception not pertinent to full subject dealt with in Matthew and Mark.
- (2) Rom. 7 is unquestionably N.T. doctrine.
- (3) Rom. 7 harmonizes with Matthew and Mark—no contradiction.
- (4) All 3 passages are IN the same New Testament.

NTA12

DAN'S MARRIAGE LAW versus CHRIST'S NEW TESTAMENT

-----<Christ's New Testament Law-----> versus <---Dan's “N.T.” Law--->

Matthew 19:9
PA (X-F)+MA=A
(PA - MAR = A)
(PA [F] MA = M
M - PA = A

Rom. 7:2,3
W - B -LIFE
HL MA = A
HD - MA = M

1Cor.7:15

“He and I hold two different positions on the subject of marriage, divorce and remarriage. He (Don Tarbet) holds to the Old Testament position of Matt. 19 and I hold the New Testament position of 1 Corinthians 7.” Billingsly - TYING A KNOT IN DON TARBET'S TALE, p. 3)

